

## **REMARKS**

Claims 21-24 are rejected for obviousness-type double patenting. Claims 1-20 are allowed.

### **Regarding the Double Patenting Rejection**

Claims 1-20 are rejected for obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. patent 6,666,416 to McAllister.

Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer under 37 CFR 1.321(c) to address the double-patenting issue. In the terminal disclaimer, McAllister Products, Inc., the owner of the present application, disclaims the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the present application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term of prior U.S. patent 6,666,416. An Assignment from Robert McAllister to McAllister Products, Inc., has been recorded in the USPTO on 17 May 2004 on Reel 014639, Frame 0071. In addition, McAllister Products, Inc., agrees that any patent granted on the present application will be enforceable only for and during such period that it and the prior patent are commonly owned.


Applicant submits that there is no longer any issue of double-patenting, and respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks above, it is submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable consideration and early allowance are respectfully requested. Should any issues remain unresolved, Examiner Le is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT J. McALLISTER

By:   
Lawrence A. Maxham  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 24,483

## THE MAXHAM FIRM

SYMPHONY TOWERS  
750 "B" STREET, SUITE 3100  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101  
TELEPHONE: (619) 233-9004  
FACSIMILE: (619) 544-1246